

# Recent Developments in the Law

---

**Vol. No. XXXI**

May 2001

In order to keep you abreast of the recent developments in the law, we are reporting the substance of several current decisions of major import in the jurisdictions of Maryland, the District of Columbia, and Virginia.

*This material is being provided for your general information only, and is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice. The information provided is not provided as legal advice, or in the course of an attorney-client relationship. You should always consult an attorney for advice about the specific circumstances of your case.*

## MARYLAND COURT OF APPEALS

### MARYLAND COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS

**Civil Procedure - Expert Opinions:** *Dorsey v. Nold*, 2000 WL \_\_\_\_\_, CSA No. 1461 Sept. Term 1998. A doctor who, in the course of his employment as a state medical examiner, performs an autopsy and forms an opinion as to the cause of death is not an expert whose opinion is prepared in anticipation of litigation or trial. Therefore, it was not mandatory that his identity be disclosed under Md. Rule 2-402(e)(1).

**Civil Procedure - Injunctions:** *El Bey v. Moorish Science Temple of America*, 2000 WL \_\_\_\_\_, CSA No. 6269 Sept Term 1998. Absent a clear showing of abuse of discretion it was not in error for the trial court to issue injunctive relief even though the party seeking the injunction did not adduce evidence that it would suffer irreparable harm. Granting or denying of a request for injunctive relief rests within the sound discretion of the trial court.

**Contracts:** *Joswick v. Chesapeake Mobile Homes, Inc., et al.*, 2000 WL \_\_\_\_\_, CSA No. 402 Sept. Term 1999.

SAUNDERS and SCHMIELER, P.C.  
LAW OFFICES